C.S. 3001 — (2)

P.R. No. 5182/06
Presented by Shri Dulkum
dated 12/9/06

SINGLE BENCH

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 5154 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

PETITIONERS

1. Bharat Ram Sahu, aged about 30 years, son of Shri Chamra Ram Sahu, resident of Piparhatta, Post Patshivni, Police StationChhura, District Raipur (C.G.)

2. Askaran Tandan, aged about 32 years, son of Shri Itwari Ram Tandan, r/o vill & Post Chhura, Tah. Gariyabandh, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. Natun Kumar Dhruv, aged about 38 years, son of Shri Ratiram Dhruv, r/o village Murmura, Post Loharsi, Tah. Gariyabandh, Distt Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
13/9/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,

(2A)

through – the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2 Collector, district Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3 Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat – Raipur (Chhattisgrh)

4 Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat – Chhura, Distt. Raipur (CG)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 5154 of 2006

Petitioners — Bharat Ram Sahu & others

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the respondents No.1 and 2.

None for the respondents No. 3 and 4.

O R D E R

(21st September, 2006)

1. The only contention of the petitioners in this petition is to direct the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation of the petitioner within a stipulated period, to which learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 has no objection.
2. Accordingly, the respondent No.4 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 31.7.2006 (Annexure P/3) of the petitioners, in accordance with law, on its own merit, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.
3. With the above observation this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur